

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,818	07/29/2003	Eric Edward Fullerton	ARC92000096US2 8153	
50439 DUFT BORNS	7590 03/21/2008 SEN & FISHMAN, LLP		EXAM	INER
1526 SPRUCE STREET			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
SUITE 302 BOULDER, CO 80302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·	0 00302		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/630,818	FULLERTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Holly Rickman	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
/=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. The examiner notes that while applicant states that this reissue narrows the patent claims, and that new dependent claims are added, applicant does not identify a single word, phrase or expression in the claims which is being amended.

Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 1414 which states:

Reissue oaths or declarations must contain the following:

- (A) A statement that the applicant believes the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid —
- (1) by reason of a defective specification or drawing, or
- (2) by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than patentee had the right to claim in the patent;
- (B) A statement of at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application, i.e., as the basis for the reissue;
- (C) A statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath /declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant; and
- (D) The information required by 37 CFR 1.63.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application fails to satisfy section (B) as set forth above. See MPEP 1414, part II, for further discussion of this requirement.

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath/declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejection of claims 1-5, 8-12 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 10, and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over lost count 1 of interference 105,110 taken in view of Toigo ("Avoiding a Data Crunch", Scientific American, May 2000, pp. 58-74) is withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to the teachings of Toigo are persuasive.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1, 10 and 15-16 under the principles of res judicata and collateral estoppel as not patentably distinct from the subject matter of count 1 of interference 105,110 taken in view of Toigo ("Avoiding a Data Crunch", Scientific American, May 2000, pp. 58-74).

 In re Deckler, 997 F.2d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1992) is withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to the teachings of Toigo are persuasive.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/18/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the reissue oath/declaration.

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant argues that the reissue oath/declaration properly points to the error which is the basis for the reissue. However, the statement of at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application must identify a single word, phrase or expression in the claims which is being amended. Applicant's oath/declaration fails to satisfy this requirement.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/630,818

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Holly Rickman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794